Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/6/2016

Reporting For: 2015

Influent Flow and Loading

- 1. Monthly Average Flows and (C)BOD Loadings
- 1.1 Verify the following monthly flows and (C)BOD loadings to your facility.

Outfall No. 701	Influent Monthly Average Flow, MGD	х	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Concentration mg/L	х	8.34	=	Influent Monthly Average (C)BOD Loading, lbs/day
January	0.3263	Х	230	Х	8.34	=	626
February	0.3225	Х	263	Х	8.34	=	706
March	0.3484	Х	240	Х	8.34	=	697
April	0.3302	Х	236	Х	8.34	=	650
May	0.3186	Х	258	Х	8.34	=	684
June	0.3410	Х	393	Х	8.34	=	1,116
July	0.3454	Х	206	Х	8.34	=	593
August	0.3153	Х	345	Х	8.34	=	907
September	0.3321	Х	196	Х	8.34	=	543
October	0.3128	Х	290	Х	8.34	=	756
November	0.3617	Х	293	Х	8.34	=	882
December	0.4208	Х	202	Х	8.34	=	709

- 2. Maximum Month Design Flow and Design (C)BOD Loading
- 2.1 Verify the design flow and loading for your facility.

Design	Design Factor	Х	%	=	% of Design
Max Month Design Flow, MGD	1.4	Х	90	=	1.26
		Х	100	=	1.4
Design (C)BOD, lbs/day	1450	Х	90	=	1305
		Х	100	=	1450

2.2 Verify the number of times the flow and (C)BOD exceeded 90% or 100% of design, points earned, and score:

	1						
	Months	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times	Number of times		
	of	flow was greater	flow was greater	(C)BOD was greater	(C)BOD was greater		
	Influent	than 90% of	than 100% of	than 90% of design	than 100% of design		
January	1	0	0	0	0		
February	1	0	0	0	0		
March	1	0	0	0	0		
April	1	0	0	0	0		
May	1	0	0	0	0		
June	1	0	0	0	0		
July	1	0	0	0	0		
August	1	0	0	0	0		
September	1	0	0	0	0		
October	1	0	0	0	0		
November	1	0	0	0	0		
December	1	0	0	0	0		
Points per ea	ach	2	1	3	2		
Exceedances	ì	0	0	0	0		
Points		0	0	0	0		
Total Number of Points 0							

O

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

3. Flow Meter 3.1 Was the influent flow meter calibrated in the last year? ● Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)	
• No	
If No, please explain:	
4. Sewer Use Ordinance	
4.1 Did your community have a sewer use ordinance that limited or prohibited the discharge of excessive conventional pollutants ((C)BOD, SS, or pH) or toxic substances to the sewer from industries, commercial users, hauled waste, or residences? ● Yes	
o No	
If No, please explain:	
	ı
4.2 Was it necessary to enforce the ordinance? O Yes	
• No	
If Yes, please explain:	ł
5. Septage Receiving 5.1 Did you have requests to receive septage at your facility?	
Septic Tanks Holding Tanks Grease Traps	
 O Yes O Yes O Yes No No 	
 No No No No 5.2 Did you receive septage at your faclity? If yes, indicate volume in gallons. 	
Septic Tanks O Yes gallons	
• No	
Holding Tanks O Yes gallons	
• No	
Grease Traps O Yes gallons	
● No	
5.2.1 If yes to any of the above, please explain if plant performance is affected when receiving	
any of these wastes.	
6. Pretreatment 6.1 Did your facility experience operational problems, permit violations, biosolids quality concerns, or hazardous situations in the sewer system or treatment plant that were attributable to commercial or industrial discharges in the last year? O Yes	
• No	
If yes, describe the situation and your community's response.	
6.2 Did your facility accept hauled industrial wastes, landfill leachate, etc.? O Yes	

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

No

If yes, describe the types of wastes received and any procedures or other restrictions that were in place to protect the facility from the discharge of hauled industrial wastes.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/6/2016 2015

Effluent Quality and Plant Performance (BOD/CBOD)

 Effluent (C)BOD Result 	fluent (C)BOD Re	sults
--	------------------	-------

1.1 Verify the following monthly average effluent values, exceedances, and points for BOD or **CBOD**

Outfall No. 001	Monthly Average Limit (mg/L)	90% of Permit Limit > 10 (mg/L)	Effluent Monthly Average (mg/L)	Months of Discharge with a Limit	Permit Limit Exceedance	90% Permit Limit Exceedance	
January	50	45	4	1	0	0	
February	50	45	4	1	0	0	
March	50	45	8	1	0	0	
April	50	45	8	1	0	0	
May	50	45	3	1	0	0	
June	50	45	10	1	0	0	
July	50	45	4	1	0	0	
August	50	45	3	1	0	0	
September	50	45	2	1	0	0	
October	50	45	2	1	0	0	
November	50	45	2	1	0	0	
December	50	45	5	1	0	0	
		* Eq	uals limit if limit is	<= 10			
Months of d	ischarge/yr			12			
Points per e	7	3					
Exceedances						0	
Points 0							
Total numb	per of points				•	0	

NOTE: For systems that discharge intermittently to state waters, the points per monthly exceedance for this section shall be based upon a multiplication factor of 12 months divided by the number of months of discharge. Example: For a wastewater facility discharging only 6 months of the year, the multiplication factor is 12/6 = 2.0

1.2 If any violations occurred, what action was taken to regain compliance?

2	. Flow Meter Calibration 2.1 Was the effluent flow meter calibrated in the last year? O Yes Enter last calibration date (MM/DD/YYYY)
	NoIf No, please explain:	
	EFFLUENT FLOW IS CALCULATED FROM MEASURING ELEVA	ATION
3.	. Treatment Problems	

- 3.1 What problems, if any, were experienced over the last year that threatened treatment?

none

- 4. Other Monitoring and Limits
- 4.1 At any time in the past year was there an exceedance of a permit limit for any other pollutants such as chlorides, pH, residual chlorine, fecal coliform, or metals?
- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

4.2 At any time in the pas	st year was	there a	failure of	an effluent	acute or	chronic whole	e effluent
toxicity (WET) test?	_						

- o Yes
- No

If Yes, please explain:

4.3 If the biomonitoring (WET) test did not pass, were steps taken to identify and/or reduce source(s) of toxicity?

- o Yes
- o No
- N/A

Please explain unless not applicable:

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

Groundwater Quality

 Groundwater Quality Standards 1.1 At any time in the past year were there Preventative Action Limit (PAL) or Alternative Concentration Limit (ACL) exceedances of public health and welfare parameters in any groundwater monitoring wells downgradient of the discharge location? Yes No 	
If Yes, please list the exceedances in each downgradient well:	
res, please list the exceedances in each downgradient well.	
1.2 At any time in the past year were there Enforcement Standard (ES) or ES Alternative Concentration Limit (ACL) exceedances in any groundwater monitoring well downgradient of the discharge location? O Yes (20 points)	
No (If no, proceed to question 1.3)	
• N/A - Based on a Department confirmation that the hydrogeologic situation is, in effect, a diffuse surface water discharge system.	
If Yes, please list the exceedances in each well:	0
1.3 At any time in the past year were there Enforcement Standard (ES) or ES Alternative Concentration Limit (ACL) exceedances at any point of standards application monitoring well? Point of standards application monitoring wells are those wells used to determine if an ES or ACL has been exceeded at any one or more of the following: 1) Any point of groundwater use; 2) Any point beyond the property boundary on which the facility is located; 3) Any point beyond the design management zone. O Yes (10 points) No	
 N/A - Based on a Department confirmation that the hydrogeologic situation is, in effect, a diffuse surface water discharge system rather than a discharge system potentially impacting the groundwater beyond a groundwater compliance boundary. In this case the facility may have received an NR 140.28 exemption. If Yes, please list the exceedances in each well: 	
2. Groundwater Evaluation Report 2.1 Has a comprehensive Groundwater Compliance Evaluation Report been done by either your consultant or the Department? O Yes Date:	
• No	
If yes, what were the findings:	

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

Biosolids Quality and Management

1. Biosolids	s Use.	/Disp	osal																
1 <u>.1</u> How c						our b	oioso	lids?	(Che	ck al	II tha	t app	ly)						
■ Land a			•	•															
Publicl	•			•			ty Bi	osoli	ds										
		nothe	er perr	nitte	d fac	ility													
☐ Landfi																			
☐ Incine	rated																		
□ Other																			
NOTE: If	_							_	_	em, į	oleas	e des	scribe	you	r sys	tem ty	ype su	ıch	
as lagoor									etc.										
1.1.1 If y	ou ci	теске	ea Otn	er, pi	ease	aeso	cribe:												
2. Land Ap	nlicat	ion S	ito																
2. Land Ap 2.1 Last Y	•			and A	ctive	lan	d An	nlicat	ion ^c	Sites									
2.1.1 Ho							απρ	piicai	.1011 €	1103									
450.50				,															
2.1.2 Ho	w ma	ny ac	res di	d you	ı use	?													
18.55			acr	es															
2.2 If you	did r	not ha	ave en	ough	acre	s for	you	r land	d app	licati	on n	eeds	wha	it act	ion v	vas tal	ken?		
2.3 Did yo	ou ove	erapp	ly nitr	ogen	on a	any o	f you	ır apı	orove	ed lar	nd ap	plica	tion	sites	you	used I	ast ve	ar?	0
o Yes (30			3	0		,	,					•			,		,		
No																			
2.4 Have	all the	e site	s vou	used	last	vear	for I	and a	oilage	ation	n bee	n soi	Ltest	ted ir	the	previo	ous 4		
years?	an tri	0 0.00	o you	u oou	iast	y ou.	.0	arra c	appc	Jarioi	. 200	50.				provid	343 1		
Yes																			
o No (10	poin	ts)																	
o N/A																			
3. Biosolids	s Meta	als																	
Number o			outfal	ls in	your	WPD	ES p	ermi	t:										
3.1 For ea							•			ualit	v val	uas f	or vo	ur fa	cility	durin	a the	last	
calendar y		atian	icsica	, VCI	ii y ti	ic bic	30110	13 1110	iai q	uant	y van	ucs i	or yo	ui ia	Cility	duilli	g tric	iast	
		1.00		CLLI	205														
Outfall No						N 4 =	Δ		1	11		C	0-4	NI	D	000/	1.12	0 - 111	
Parameter	80% of	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling	
	Limit																		
Arsenic		41	75														0	0	
Cadmium		39	85														0	0	
Copper		1500	4300														0	0	
Lead		300	840														0	0	
Mercury		17	57														0	0	
Molybdenum	60		75													0		0	
Nickel	336		420													0		0	
Selenium	80	2022	100 7500													0	0	0	
ı /Inc	I	ノとしい	. /DUU	1		i	1		i	i			1	i	1		ı ()	. () [1

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

0

Outfall No	Outfall No. 004 - SLUDGE																	
Parameter	80% of Limit	H.Q. Limit	Ceiling Limit	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	80% Value	High Quality	Ceiling
Arsenic		41	75				<2.1										0	0
Cadmium		39	85				.51										0	0
Copper		1500	4300				410										0	0
Lead		300	840				11										0	0
Mercury		17	57				<.23										0	0
Molybdenum	60		75				3									0		0
Nickel	336		420				11									0		0
Selenium	80		100				5.2									0		0
Zinc		2800	7500				350										0	0

3.1.1 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the high quality limits OR 80% of the limit for molybdenum, nickel, or selenium = 0

Exceedence Points

- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1-2 (10 Points)
- 0 > 2 (15 Points)
- 3.1.2 If you exceeded the high quality limits, did you cumulatively track the metals loading at each land application site? (check applicable box)
 - o Yes
- O No (10 points)
- N/A Did not exceed limits or no HQ limit applies (0 points)
- O N/A Did not land apply biosolids until limit was met (0 points)
- 3.1.3 Number of times any of the metals exceeded the ceiling limits = 0 Exceedence Points
- 0 (0 Points)
- 0 1 (10 Points)
- 0 > 1 (15 Points)
- 3.1.4 Were biosolids land applied which exceeded the ceiling limit?
- o Yes (20 Points)
- No (0 Points)
- 3.1.5 If any metal limit (high quality or ceiling) was exceeded at any time, what action was taken? Has the source of the metals been identified?
- 4. Pathogen Control (per outfall):
- 4.1 Verify the following information. If any information is incorrect, Contact Us.

Outfall Number:	004
Biosolids Class:	В
Bacteria Type and Limit:	F
Sample Dates:	01/01/2015 - 12/31/2015
Density:	41,285
Sample Concentration Amount:	CFU/G TS
Requirement Met:	Yes
Land Applied:	Yes
Process:	
Process Description:	

4.2 If exceeded Class B limit or did not meet the process criteria at the time of land application.

Last Updated: 5/6/2016	Reporting 2015	For
f land applicatio		0
Contact Us.		
		0
		O
nd application?		
rastewater treat		0

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

7.1 Describe any outstanding biosolids issues with treatment, use or overall management:

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

5/6/2016

Last Updated: Reporting For: 2015

Staffing and Preventative Maintenance (All Treatment Plants)

1. Plant Staffing 1.1 Was your wastewater treatment plant adequately staffed last year? ● Yes ○ No If No, please explain: Could use more help/staff for:	
 1.2 Did your wastewater staff have adequate time to properly operate and maintain the plant and fulfill all wastewater management tasks including recordkeeping? Yes No If No, please explain: 	
 2. Preventative Maintenance 2.1 Did your plant have a documented AND implemented plan for preventative maintenance on major equipment items? Yes (Continue with question 2) No (40 points) If No, please explain, then go to question 3: 	
 2.2 Did this preventative maintenance program depict frequency of intervals, types of lubrication, and other tasks necessary for each piece of equipment? Yes No (10 points) 2.3 Were these preventative maintenance tasks, as well as major equipment repairs, recorded and filed so future maintenance problems can be assessed properly? Yes 	0
 Paper file system Computer system Both paper and computer system No (10 points) 	
 3. O&M Manual 3.1 Does your plant have a detailed O&M Manual that can be used as a reference when needed? Yes No 	
 4. Overall Maintenance /Repairs 4.1 Rate the overall maintenance of your wastewater plant. ○ Excellent ○ Very good ● Good ○ Fair ○ Poor Describe your rating: always need inprovement 	

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated:	Reporting For:
	5/6/2016	2015

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

OIT and Basic Certification:

Complia	ance Maintenance An	nual Report				
Evansville	Wastewater Treatment Facili	ty		Last Updat 5/6/2016		_
Operator	Certification and Educa	tion				
1.1 Did you • Yes (0 • No (20	O points) AYMOND E NIPPLE	n-charge during the	report year?			0
2.1 In account and subclitreatment	ntion Requirements cordance with Chapter NR 114.56 ass(es) were required for the op t plant and what level and subcla	erator-in-charge (Cass(es) were held by	IC) to operat	e the wastew r-in-charge?		
Sub	SubClass Description	WWTP		OIC		
Class		Basic	OIT	Basic	Advanced	
A1	Suspended Growth Processes	X		X		
A2	Attached Growth Processes					
A3	Recirculating Media Filters					
A4	Ponds, Lagoons and Natural			Х	-	
A5	Anaerobic Treatment Of Liquid				-	
В	Solids Separation	X		X		
С	Biological Solids/Sludges	X		Х		О
Р	Total Phosphorus					
N	Total Nitrogen					
D	Disinfection					
L	Laboratory			Х		
U	Unique Treatment Systems					
SS	Sanitary Sewage Collection	X	NA	NA	NA	
plant? (No basic leve • Yes (0 • No (20	points) O points)					
3.1 In the to ensure of the follows One of the An arrows An arrows A constitution	ion Planning e event of the loss of your design the continued proper operation owing options (check all that app r more additional certified opera- rangement with another certified rangement with another communerator on staff who has an operatified within one year sultant to serve as your certified of the above (20 points) of the above" is selected, please	and maintenance o oly)? tors on staff operator nity with a certified tor-in-training certif operator	f the plant the	at includes oi	ne or more	0
	ing Education Credits		noton !!		antinuda a	
	nhad a designated operator-in-ch Credits at the following rates?	narge, was the oper	rator-in-charg	je earning Co	ontinuing	

O Averaging less than 8 CECs per year.

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016

Averaging 6 or more CECs per year.

O Averaging less than 6 CECs per year.

Advanced Certification:
O Averaging 8 or more CECs per year.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Reporting Year

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility** 5/6/2016 2015 Financial Management 1. Provider of Financial Information Name: Ian Rigg 6088822263 (XXX) XXX-XXXX Telephone: E-Mail Address ian.rigg@ci.evansville.wi.gov (optional): 2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues 2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system? Yes (0 points) O No (40 points) If No, please explain: 2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2016 0 • 0-2 years ago (0 points) o 3 or more years ago (20 points) N/A (private facility) 2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWFP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system? Yes (0 points) O No (40 points) REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3] 3. Equipment Replacement Funds 3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised? Year: 2016 • 1-2 years ago (0 points) o 3 or more years ago (20 points) O N/A If N/A, please explain: 3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity 3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR \$ 592,725.00 \$ 3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, 0.00 audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.) 3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance 592,725.00 3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.) \$ 44,000.00 3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*) 0.00 3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR

\$

636,725.00

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

All Sources: This ending balance should include all
Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a
bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund?

\$ 279,792.00

Please note: If you had a CWFP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the HELP link under Info in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

Yes

O No

If No, please explain.

- 4. Future Planning
- 4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?
- Yes If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below.

O No

Project #	Project Description		Approximate Construction Year
1	5 YEAR CIP ANNUAL REVIEW - Sewer Main replacement	763000	2018
2	WWTP - Screw Press De-watering addition and WWTP upgrades	2700000	2017

5. Financial Management General Comments

Recent increase in sewer fees in 2016.

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	Α

0

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

 CMOM Program 1.1 Do you have a Capacity, Management, Operation & Maintenance (CMOM) requirement in your WPDES permit? O Yes
• No
 1.2 Did you have a documented (written records/files, computer files, video tapes, etc.) sanitary sewer collection system operation & maintenance (O&M) or CMOM program last calendar year? ◆ Yes (Continue with question 1)
o No (30 points) (Go to question 2)
1.3 Check the elements listed below that are included in your O&M or CMOM program.☒ Goals
Describe the specific goals you have for your collection system:
CLEAN SEWER LINES EACH YEAR IDENTIFY ANY REPAIRS NEEDED INCLUDE REPAIR WITH IN A CAPITAL INPROVEMENT PLAN
✓ Organization
Do you have the following written organizational elements (check only those that apply)? ☑ Ownership and governing body description ☑ Organizational chart
□ Personnel and position descriptions
☐ Internal communication procedures
☐ Public information and education program
☐ Legal Authority
Do you have the legal authority for the following (check only those that apply)? Sewer use ordinance Last Revised Date (MM/DD/YYYY) 1/1/2010
☐ Pretreatment/industrial control Programs
□ Fat, oil and grease control
☐ Illicit discharges (commercial, industrial)
☐ Private property clear water (sump pumps, roof or foundation drains, etc.)
☐ Private lateral inspections/repairs
☐ Service and management agreements
☐ Maintenance Activities (provide details in question 2)
☐ Design and Performance Provisions
How do you ensure that your sewer system is designed and constructed properly?
☐ State plumbing code
■ DNR NR 110 standards
□ Local municipal code requirements
□ Construction, inspection, and testing
☐ Others:
☑ Overflow Emergency Response Plan:
Does your emergency response capability include (check only those that apply)? Alarm system and routine testing
☑ Emergency procedures ☑ Communications/notifications (DNR, internal, public, media, etc.)
 ☑ Confinding ations (DNR, Internal, public, friedia, etc.) ☑ Capacity Assurance:
How well do you know your sewer system? Do you have the following?
☐ Current and up-to-date sewer map

Last Updated: Reporting For: **Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility** 5/6/2016 2015 ■ Sewer system plans and specifications Manhole location map ☐ Lift station pump and wet well capacity information □ Lift station O&M manuals Within your sewer system have you identified the following? Areas with flat sewers ☐ Areas with surcharging ☐ Areas with bottlenecks or constrictions ☐ Areas with chronic basement backups or SSOs Areas with excess debris, solids, or grease accumulation Areas with heavy root growth ☑ Areas with excessive infiltration/inflow (I/I) ☐ Sewers with severe defects that affect flow capacity 0Adequacy of capacity for new connections ☑ Lift station capacity and/or pumping problems Annual Self-Auditing of your O&M/CMOM Program to ensure above components are being implemented, evaluated, and re-prioritized as needed ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Managment Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others: 2. Operation and Maintenance 2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained. 65 % of system/year Cleaning % of system/year Root removal 10 d % of system/year Flow monitoring % of system/year Smoke testing Sewer line televising .01 % of system/year Manhole % of system/year inspections 50 # per L.S./year Lift station O&M Manhole % of manholes rehabbed rehabilitation Mainline % of sewer lines rehabbed rehabilitation Private sewer % of system/year inspections Private sewer I/I % of private services removal Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below: Performance Indicators

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/6/2016

2015

0,0,2010	
3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year. 27.8 Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches	
32.69 Annual average precipitation (for your location)	
26 Miles of sanitary sewer	
8 Number of lift stations	
Number of lift stations	
0 Number of sewer pipe failures	
0 Number of basement backup occurrences	
0 Number of complaints	
0.350 Average daily flow in MGD (if available)	
1.6 Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)	
6.2 Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)	
3.2 Performance ratios for the past year: 0.00 Lift station failures (failures/year)	
0.00 Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)	
0.00 Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)	
0.00 Basement backups (number/sewer mile)	
0.00 Complaints (number/sewer mile)	
4.6 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly: Annual Daily Avg)	
17.7 Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly: Annual Daily Avg)	
4. Overflows	
LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OFERFLOWS REPO	ORTED **
Date Location Cause	Estimated
	/olume (MG)
None reported	
** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and on this section until corrected.	d stop work
5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)	
5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?	
o Yes	
NoIf Yes, please describe:	
Tres, piedse describe.	
5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created probyour collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?	piems in
o Yes	
• No	
If Yes, please describe:	
5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:	
none	
5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?	

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: Reporting For: 5/6/2016 2015

repairs done when problems are discoverd

Total Points Generated	
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	А

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility

Last Updated: 5/6/2016

Reporting For: 2015

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0023957

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS	
Influent	А	4	3	12	
BOD/CBOD	А	4	10	40	
Groundwater	А	4	7	28	
Biosolids	А	4	5	20	
Staffing/PM	А	4	1	4	
OpCert	А	4	1	4	
Financial	А	4	1	4	
Collection	А	4	3	12	
TOTALS		38	124		
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 3.26					

Notes:

A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)

C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)

D = Action Range (Response Required)

F = Action Range (Response Required)

Evansville Wastewater Treatment Facility	Last Updated: 5/6/2016	Reporting For 2015
Resolution or Owner's Statement		
Name of Governing Body or Owner: Date of Resolution or Action Taken:		
Resolution Number: Date of Submittal:		
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELA SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or Influent Flow and Loadings: Grade = A		C CMAR
Effluent Quality: BOD: Grade = A		
Groundwater: Grade = A		
Biosolids Quality and Management: Grade = A		
Staffing: Grade = A		
Operator Certification: Grade = A		
Financial Management: Grade = A		
Collection Systems: Grade = A (Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs	were reported)	
ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELAGRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS (Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. le G.P.A. = 3.26		ERALL